IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of)
	Gurleyen et al.)
Serial No.:	10/579,697) Art Unit) Unassigned
Filed:	May 19, 2006)
For:	SECURE COMMUNICATIONS WITHIN AND BETWEEN PERSONAL AREA NETWORKS BY USING PRIVATE AND PUBLIC IDENTIFIERS))))
Confirmation No.:	9696)
Customer No.:	022913)

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF PETITION UNDER 37CFR 1.47(a)

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to Decision on Petition issued November 5, 2007, Applicants hereby request reconsideration of the Petition, and request that the Petition be granted in view of the additional facts set forth herein.

As indicated by the facts set forth in the Petition for Filing by Other Than All Inventors filed October 22, 2007 ("Petition"), Pubudu Chandrasiri and Yashar Shahabi, co-inventors of the above-identified application, cannot be located after diligent effort and/or refuse to execute the application by signing a Declaration.

In the Decision on Petition issued November 5, 2007, the Office denied the Petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) on the basis that the Petition was not accompanied by adequate factual proof that the missing joint inventors refused to execute the application and/or could not be reached after diligent effort. Specifically, the Office noted two deficiencies. First, that it had not been demonstrated that there was a *bona fide* attempt to present a copy of the application papers (specification, including claims, drawings, and oath or declaration) to the inventors for signature. Second, that there had not been an adequate showing of a diligent effort to locate the inventors.

In response, Applicants submit herewith a Declaration by David Arthur Keston, dated June 5, 2008 setting forth facts addressing each of the deficiencies noted by the Office, including the following facts:

- 1) On November 14, 2006, a complete copy of the application papers, including specification, claims and drawings and as filed in the USPTO, along with the Declaration and letter explaining the same, were sent to Mr. Chandrasiri and Mr. Shahabi at their last known home addresses. (See Declaration by David Keston, paragraph 5, and Exhibit A attached thereto).
- 2) Again, on July 12, 2007, a complete copy of the application papers, including specification, claims and drawings and as filed in the USPTO, along with the Declaration, were sent to Mr. Chandrasiri at an alternate postal address. (See Declaration by David Keston, paragraph 11, and Exhibit G attached thereto).
- 3) Notwithstanding both attempts to present a complete copy of the application papers to the Mr. Chandrasiri for signature, and the attempt to present a complete copy of the application papers to Mr. Shahabi, Applicants have not received any reply from the inventors. It is believed that this silence constitutes a refusal to sign under 37 CFR 1.47(a).
- 4) In addition, Applicants have exercised a diligent effort to locate the inventors. Computer searches using the Google search tool and the LinkedIn resource have been utilized. (See

Declaration by David Keston, paragraph 10, and Exhibits E and F attached thereto). A search of the Applicants' corporate records has also not yielded any additional or alternative

addresses. (See Declaration by David Keston, paragraph 9).

The Petition was also denied on the basis that individual declarations from the remaining

inventors were not submitted. In response, Applicants submit herewith individual dcclarations

executed by the remaining inventors.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that it has been demonstrated that, with

documented evidence, the inventor cannot be located after diligent effort and/or has refused to

execute the application. Individual declarations executed by the remaining inventors are also

provided. Moreover, the Petition filed on October 22, 2007, included the required petition fee and

identified the last known address of the inventor. This action is necessary to preserve the rights of

the co-inventors of the application because refusal to grant the Petition of October 22, 2007, would

result in abandonment of the application.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that this patent application be accepted by the

United States Patent and Trademark Office without the signatures of the co-inventor who cannot be

located and/or refuses to sign the application. If there are any questions regarding this Petition, the

undersigned may be contacted at (801) 533-9800.

Dated this 5th day of June, 2008.

Respectfully submitted,

/Eric L. Maschoff/ Reg. #36596/

ERIC L. MASCHOFF

Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 36,596

Customer No. 022913